

United States Department of the Interior



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY Washington, D.C. 20240

DEC 02 1999

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Magalie Roman Salas, Esq. Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W., Room TW-A325 Washington, D.C. 20554



Re:

Ruling for FCC 99-204 CC Docket No. 9645: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service: Promoting Deployment and Subscribership in Unserved and Underserved Areas, Including Tribal and Insular Areas.

Dear Madame Secretary:

In this letter, the Office of Insular Affairs of the Department of the Interior submits the following comments on the rule for FCC 99-204 CC Docket No. 964, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service: Promoting Deployment and Subscribership in Unserved and Underserved Areas, including tribal and Insular Areas.

The Office of Insular Affairs believes it is of utmost importance to advance universal service to insular areas of the United States. These remote, isolated insular areas have low income populations and need assurances that they may have access to affordable telecommunications services. Subscribership levels (penetration rates) are significantly lower in these areas as compared to the national average due to income disparity and remote locations.

Each insular area is unique. Even their telecommunication market structures are different, some telecommunications systems are run by the government, some are integrated with the military, and others are run by private companies with limited competition. Their telecommunications costs, in general, are more expensive as compared to those on the mainland.

No. of Copies rec'd OLY List ABCDE We urge the Federal Communications Commission to undertake additional measures to promote deployment and subscribership in the insular areas and to provide universal service support necessary to offset the particular challenges facing these areas.¹

We recommend that the term "insular area" be defined in the final ruling on universal service to consist of the territories of the United States including Guam, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the United States Virgin Islands and other United States possessions including Johnston Atoll, Midway Atoll, Baker Island, Howland Island, Jarvis Island, Kingman Reef, Palmyra Atoll, Wake Atoll, and Navassa Island.²

Non-populated areas such as Navassa, Baker, Howland, and Jarvis Islands should be included in the definition of an "insular area" to allow access to universal service should these areas become populated in the future. Midway Atoll should also be included.³ Although Midway Atoll is a wildlife refuge under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, it does accommodate a small population of scientists and tourists on a daily basis.

Insular areas receiving telecommunications from the United States military should be included should there be a future decommissioning of the military in those areas. These insular areas include Wake and Johnston Atolls.

We recommend that the rule define insular areas to include only those areas that are under the sovereignty of the United States.⁴ The freely associated states of Palau, the Marshall Islands, and the Federated States, are sovereign states in their own right. Inclusion of the freely associated states should be an issue in the renegotiation of the Compact of Free Association with the Federated States of Micronesia and the Marshall Islands, which is now underway. The freely associated states are subject to foreign aid and open to international telecommunication technology.

Due to their remote locations and low income populations, rural health care in the insular areas is expensive and difficult to deliver. Currently, the ruling defines some areas, including Tutuila, American Samoa; Saipan, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands; and Agana, Guam as "urban", which prevents the universal service program from operating as intended. In reality, there is no difference between the "rural" and "urban" rates in the insular areas. Universal service for rural telemedicine was envisioned to target remote areas. I strongly urge that the

¹Federal Register, Vol. 64, No. 189, September 30, 1999, page 52749, paragraph 69

²Federal Register, Vol. 64, No. 189, September 30, 1999, page 52754, paragraph 111

³ Ibid, page 52754, paragraph 112

⁴Ibid

Commission consider a unique rule that will achieve universal service and affordable rates for America's unique insular areas. To this end, I propose that the Commission consider utilization of a "surrogate" urban area for purposes of determining comparable rates for the insular areas.⁵

For the record, we are providing statistical information on population, population density, income, and telephones in housing units for the insular areas. Please see the attached Tables 1 - 5.6

As the Federal agency responsible for Federal relations with the insular areas and an advocate for their economic development, the Office of Insular Affairs urges the Commission to grant special consideration to the insular areas under this rule to ensure affordable telecommunications access. To this end, I propose that the Commission establish universal service meechanisms designed to provide telemedicine support for links between rural health care facilities in insular areas and advanced hospitals in Hawaii or on the Mainland.

Sincerely,

Ferdinand G. Aranza

Director

Office of Insular Affairs

Attachments — Tables 1-5

⁵Ibid, page 52755, paragraph 115

⁶Ibid, page 52741, paragraph 12

Table 1. Population — United States and Insular Areas 1990 & 1995

| Population | 1995 | 1990 | |
|--|-------------|-------------|--|
| United States | 262,105,000 | 248,709,973 | |
| Puerto Rico | N/A | 3,522,037 | |
| Virgin Islands | 109,677 | 101,809 | |
| Guam | 140,910 | 133,152 | |
| Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands | 58,8467 | 43,345 | |
| American Samoa | 52,884 | 46,773 | |
| Palau | 17,225 | 15,122 | |
| Federated States of Micronesia | 105,506 | N/A | |
| Marshall Islands | 42,781 | 43,380 | |

Sources: Insular Areas Census & Surveys/Interior 1999 State of the Islands Report, page 95

⁷U.S. Bureau of Census estimates the mid-1998 population for the CNMI to be at 66,611. Department of the Interior, 1999 State of the Islands Report, page 24.

Table 2. Population Density

| Population | Density (persons per square mile) |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| United States | 70.3 persons ⁸ /sq. mile |
| Puerto Rico | N/A |
| Virgin Islands | 318 persons/sq. mile |
| Guam | 664 persons/sq. mile |
| Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands | 333.4 persons ⁹ /sq. mile |
| American Samoa | 611 persons/sq. mile |
| Palau | 87 persons/sq. mile |
| Federated States of Micronesia | 390 persons/sq. mile |
| Marshall Islands | 611 persons/sq. mile |

Based on 1995 population figures from Table 1

⁸U.S. Bureau of Census, 1990 Census of Population & Housing, excludes Alaska and Hawaii, *Statistical Abstract of the United States 1997*, page 8

⁹Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Department of Commerce, Central Statistics Division, 1996 CNMI Statistical Yearbook, page 4. The Statistical Yearbook demonstrates a large disparity in population densities exist among the three populated areas -- Saipan has 1,133 persons per square mile, Rota has 107 persons per square mile, and Tinian has 67.1 persons per square mile.

Table 3: Median Income: 1990 & 1995

| Median Income | Households 1995 | Households 1990 | Families 1995 | Families 1000 |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| United States | \$32,264 | \$30,056 | \$38,782 | \$35,225 |
| Puerto Rico | N/A | \$8,895 | N/A | \$9,988 |
| Virgin Islands | \$22,842 | \$22,050 | \$27,908 | \$24,036 |
| Guam | \$30,335 | \$30,755 | \$25,745 | \$31,178 |
| Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands | \$19,094 | \$20,644 | \$21,166 | \$21,275 |
| American Samoa | \$15,715 | \$16,114 | \$16,126 | \$15,979 |
| Palau | \$11,856 | \$8,882 | \$12,776 | \$9,380 |
| Federated States of Micronesia | \$4,494 | N/A | \$4,473 | N/A |

Sources: Insular Areas Census & Surveys/Interior 1999 State of the Islands Report, page 106

Table 4: Telephones in Housing Units (percentage of housing units)

| Telephone | 1995 | 1990 |
|--|------|------|
| United States | 96.6 | 94.8 |
| Puerto Rico | N/A | 63.7 |
| Virgin Islands | 90.0 | 81.5 |
| Guam | 94.4 | 92.1 |
| Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands | 61.0 | 39.6 |
| American Samoa | 70.5 | 37.4 |
| Palau | 54.1 | 58.1 |
| Federated States of Micronesia | 26.8 | N/A |
| Marshall Islands | N/A | N/A |

Sources: Insular Areas Census & Surveys/Interior 1999 State of the Islands Report, page 117

Table 5 Per Capita Income: 1990 and 1995

| Per Capita | 1995 | 1990 |
|---|----------|----------|
| United States | \$16,555 | \$14,420 |
| Puerto Rico | N/A | \$4,177 |
| Virgin Islands | \$10,942 | \$9,440 |
| Guam | \$11,552 | \$9,928 |
| Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands | \$6,897 | \$7,199 |
| American Samoa | \$3,270 | \$3,039 |
| Palau | \$3,526 | \$2,656 |
| Federated States of Micronesia | N/A | N/A |
| Marshall Islands | N/A | N/A |

Sources: Insular Areas Censuses and Surveys/Interior 1999 State of the Islands Report, page 107